p-ISSN: 2086-4280
e-ISSN: 2527-8827

The Secret to Effective Learning: The Magic of Formative Assessment in Mathematics Class

Marten Luter Ke Lele[1*], Marsigit[2], Heri Retnawati[3]

Department of Mathematics Education, Yogyakarta State University
Jalan Colombo No.1, Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[1*]*marten0113fmipa.2023@student.uny.ac.id*; [2]*marsigit@uny.ac.id*;
[3]*heri_retnawati@uny.ac.id*

Article received: 23-05-2024, revision: 10-06-2024, published: 30-07-2024

Abstrak

Penelitian ini dilakukan untuk menentukan efektivitas penilaian formatif dalam pendidikan Matematika melalui metode tinjauan pustaka. Metode penelitian yang digunakan adalah studi literatur meliputi buku, tesis, disertasi, artikel, dan sumber lain yang relevan. Data dianalisis menggunakan teknik analisis integratif. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa penilaian formatif sangat penting karena memberikan pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang kekuatan dan kelemahan siswa dalam menguasai konsep-konsep matematika. Hal ini memungkinkan guru untuk melakukan penyesuaian strategi pengajaran secara real-time guna mendukung pemahaman siswa secara optimal. Dalam pendidikan Matematika, penilaian formatif diidentifikasi sebagai katalis yang sangat penting dalam menyediakan pengalaman belajar yang lebih mendalam dan bermakna bagi siswa. Penilaian formatif sangat efektif dalam pendidikan Matematika, membantu guru memahami sejauh mana siswa telah menguasai materi yang diajarkan, mengidentifikasi area yang memerlukan perbaikan, dan menyesuaikan pengajaran untuk memenuhi kebutuhan siswa. Selain itu, penilaian formatif lebih efektif dibandingkan penilaian sumatif dalam hal meningkatkan motivasi akademik, mengurangi kecemasan terhadap ujian, dan meningkatkan keterampilan regulasi diri.
Kata Kunci: Efektivitas; Matematika; Penilaian Formatif.

Abstract

Mathematics education through the literature review method. The research method used is literature study including books, theses, dissertations, articles and other relevant sources. Data were analyzed using integrative analysis techniques. The research results show that formative assessment is very important because it provides a deeper understanding of students' strengths and weaknesses in mastering mathematical concepts. This allows teachers to adjust teaching strategies in real-time to optimally support student understanding. In Mathematics education, formative assessment is identified as a very important catalyst in providing deeper and more meaningful learning experiences for students. Formative assessment is very effective in Mathematics education, helping teachers understand the extent to which students have mastered the material being taught, identify areas that need improvement, and adapt instruction to meet students' needs. In addition, formative assessment is more effective than summative assessment in terms of increasing academic motivation, reducing test anxiety, and improving self-regulation skills.
Keywords: Effectiveness; Mathematics; Formative Assessment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics education is often perceived as one of the most difficult subjects by many students (Rahayu et al., 2024; Handayani, 2024). Understanding mathematical concepts requires a different approach compared to other subjects (Miatun & Ulfah, 2023; Noverli, Asih, & Juandi, 2024), due to its abstract and cumulative nature. Therefore, formative assessment plays a crucial role in identifying conceptual errors early on and providing the necessary feedback to correct misunderstandings before students move on to the next material.

Formative assessment allows teachers to adjust their teaching strategies based on individual student needs (Kosasih et al., 2023). Given the significant variation in students' abilities and understanding in mathematics, this personalized approach is essential to ensure that all students can effectively follow the lessons. Despite the recognized benefits of formative assessment, its implementation in mathematics education still faces several challenges, such as time constraints, limited teacher understanding of how to effectively carry out formative assessments, and resistance to traditional teaching methods. For instance, research by Smith (2020) indicates that a lack of teacher training on formative assessment techniques hinders its application in classrooms. Additionally, frequent curriculum changes demand rapid and effective adaptation of teaching and assessment methods. Formative assessment can be a flexible solution to these changes, due to its adaptive and continuous nature (Jones, 2019).

Currently, although formative assessment has proven to increase student engagement in the learning process, its implementation in many schools remains limited. Several studies (e.g., Green, 2021) show that, in many classrooms—particularly in resource-limited areas—formative assessment is not optimally utilized. This creates a gap between the current condition, where formative assessment is not fully utilized, and the ideal condition, where formative assessment could be a more effective tool for improving students' understanding of mathematical concepts. This gap is further exacerbated by a lack of awareness of how technology can support more efficient and effective formative assessment.

This study aims to fill this gap by investigating the effectiveness of formative assessment in mathematics education in Indonesia. It will position this research in the context of existing studies by providing references to relevant prior research. For example, Black & Wiliam (2009) showed that formative assessment can significantly improve student learning outcomes when applied correctly. More recent studies, such as Kistler (2023), indicate that technology-enhanced formative assessment has the potential to accelerate feedback and increase student engagement in learning.

This study also aims to evaluate the extent to which formative assessment can improve students' understanding and achievement in mathematics. In addition, it will identify the factors that support or hinder the successful implementation of formative assessment in the classroom. This research is also expected to provide

p-ISSN: 2086-4280
e-ISSN: 2527-8827

empirical data that will contribute to the development of more effective educational policies in the future.

The main research question addressed by this study is: *"How effective is formative assessment in mathematics education in Indonesia?"* By uncovering relevant data, this research will provide insights into how formative assessment can be more effectively integrated into mathematics education.

The results of this study are expected to make a tangible contribution to improving the quality of mathematics education in Indonesia. With empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of formative assessment in improving learning outcomes, education policymakers can encourage broader use of this method in schools. This research is also anticipated to serve as a guide for teachers in developing better teaching strategies, ensuring that mathematics learning goals are more effectively achieved.

This study also focuses on the role of formative assessment in supporting more individualized and personalized learning. With formative assessment, teachers can easily identify each student's learning needs, providing appropriate interventions based on their level of understanding. This is crucial, as each student has different learning speeds and styles. With a more personalized approach, students who struggle with mathematics can receive better support and motivation, leading to improved learning outcomes.

Studying the effectiveness of formative assessment in mathematics learning is an important step in understanding how this approach can help improve students' learning outcomes. This will make a significant contribution to improving the quality of mathematics education and helping students reach their full potential in this important subject.

II. METHOD

The research method used is literature study. Literature study involves activities related to library data collection, reading and noting, as well as processing research materials (Zed, 2008 in Kartiningrum, 2015). The data analysis technique used in this study is integrative analysis, which involves combining findings to provide a general conclusion about formative assessment.

The research was conducted over three months, from January to March 2024, by studying two previous studies on the effectiveness of formative assessment in mathematics learning. The materials used in this study include books, theses, dissertations, articles, and other sources related to assessment. The research procedure includes the following steps: 1) Collecting Library Data; 2) Reading and Taking Notes (Creating Concepts); and 3) Processing Research Materials.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Formative Assessment in Mathematics Learning: A Critical Evaluation of Its Effectiveness

Formative assessment has become an indispensable tool in the field of education, particularly in Mathematics learning, due to its pivotal role in supporting students' continuous improvement. Broadly defined,

formative assessment refers to a systematic, ongoing process aimed at monitoring students' progress and offering constructive feedback to refine future learning outcomes (Black & Wiliam, 1998). In Mathematics, formative assessment helps identify students' strengths and weaknesses in mastering abstract and complex concepts, allowing teachers to make timely adjustments to instructional strategies to support optimal understanding.

The methodologies of formative assessment are diverse, ranging from traditional quizzes and classroom discussions to portfolio assessments and digital tools, each providing a different platform for students to showcase their knowledge. These approaches give teachers invaluable insights into student comprehension and performance (Kowalski & Kowalski, 2011). The impact of formative assessment has been extensively studied, and results indicate that its application contributes significantly to improving learning motivation, self-efficacy, and academic performance (Black & Wiliam, 1998). These findings demonstrate that formative assessment is an essential mechanism for fostering a deeper, more meaningful learning experience in Mathematics.

B. Supporting Theories of Formative Assessment

The theoretical foundation of formative assessment is rooted in constructivist learning theory, which emphasizes the importance of active student engagement and knowledge construction through interaction with the learning environment (Piaget, 1950). In the context of formative assessment, this theory suggests that feedback should be a continuous, interactive process that supports students in actively constructing their understanding of mathematical concepts. Furthermore, formative assessment aligns with Vygotsky's (1978) Zone of Proximal Development, which posits that students can achieve higher levels of understanding with appropriate scaffolding from teachers and peers. In this regard, formative assessment provides the necessary scaffolding to guide students through their learning challenges.

C. Research Findings on Formative Assessment

In the current educational landscape, the integration of technology into formative assessment practices has become increasingly relevant. The use of online learning platforms, educational applications, and interactive quizzes allows for real-time assessment and feedback, which contributes to an engaging and efficient learning process (Smith, 2020). Technology not only facilitates the rapid collection and analysis of student data but also supports personalized learning, which is crucial in Mathematics, where students may have varying levels of understanding and mastery (Jones & Talwar, 2019).

Several empirical studies underscore the effectiveness of formative assessment in Mathematics. For example, Hattie and Timperley (2007) demonstrated that timely and specific feedback significantly enhances student achievement by providing clear guidance on what students need to improve. In a study by Wiliam et al.

p-ISSN: 2086-4280
e-ISSN: 2527-8827

(2004), students who received regular formative feedback showed improved conceptual understanding and enhanced problem-solving skills, underscoring the importance of feedback in reinforcing mathematical thinking. Additionally, Black and Wiliam (1998) and Ismail et al. (2022) emphasized that formative assessment not only clarifies learning objectives but also guides students toward meeting success criteria, fostering continuous improvement in their learning process.

In terms of academic motivation and self-regulation, formative assessment plays a pivotal role. A study by Ismail et al. (2022) compared formative and summative assessment and found that formative assessment is more effective in increasing academic motivation, reducing test anxiety, and improving self-regulation skills. This is because formative assessment provides ongoing feedback, allowing students to correct errors and manage their learning without the pressure of final evaluations. Furthermore, research by Sudakova et al. (2022), Carney et al. (2022), and Stanja et al (2023) found that formative assessment is critical in identifying learning gaps and addressing them promptly, which is especially important in subjects like Mathematics, where mastery of foundational concepts is essential for understanding more advanced material.

D. Inclusive Education and Formative Assessment

Formative assessment also plays a vital role in promoting inclusive education. By providing personalized, timely feedback, formative assessment ensures that all students—regardless of their individual learning needs—receive the support necessary to succeed. This is particularly important in Mathematics, where understanding one concept often serves as a prerequisite for mastering subsequent topics. As Brown and Harris (2021) argue, formative assessment allows teachers to cater to the varying learning speeds and styles of their students, ensuring that no student is left behind.

E. Critical Examination of Formative Assessment Design

While formative assessment holds immense potential, research has identified several challenges in its design and implementation. According to van der Steen et al. (2023) and Hailikari et al. (2022), a common issue is the lack of alignment between learning objectives, activities, and assessment tasks. When these elements are not aligned, the assessment may fail to accurately reflect student understanding. Additionally, formative assessment must provide meaningful data that can guide instructional decisions. If the data is vague or insufficient, the potential benefits of formative assessment are compromised (van der Linden et al., 2023; Martin & Graulich, 2023). These findings highlight the need for well-designed formative assessments that are both flexible and responsive to students' learning needs.

F. Conclusion and Implications for Mathematics Learning

In conclusion, formative assessment is a powerful tool for improving student

learning in Mathematics, offering a range of benefits such as enhanced motivation, better self-regulation, and increased conceptual understanding. As demonstrated by various studies, including those by Black & Wiliam (1998), Hattie & Timperley (2007), Morris, Perry, and Wardle (2021), Patra et al. (2022), and Ismail et al. (2022), formative assessment significantly enhances academic performance by providing continuous, constructive feedback. Additionally, the integration of technology in formative assessment enhances its effectiveness by facilitating real-time feedback and promoting personalized learning.

However, for formative assessment to reach its full potential, educators must ensure that assessments are aligned with learning objectives and provide actionable data to inform instruction. By addressing these challenges and implementing well-designed formative assessments, Mathematics educators can create a more dynamic and inclusive learning environment that meets the diverse needs of all students.

IV. CONCLUSION

Formative assessment is a highly effective evaluative approach in Mathematics learning. By providing continuous feedback, it helps students understand learning objectives, address weaknesses, and enhance motivation, self-regulation, and conceptual understanding. Theories underpinning formative assessment, such as constructivism and the Zone of Proximal Development, highlight the importance of active student engagement and teacher support to achieve deeper comprehension.

Research findings demonstrate the positive impact of formative assessment on academic performance, particularly through the integration of technology, such as online learning platforms, which facilitate real-time feedback. Additionally, formative assessment promotes inclusive education by ensuring every student receives the necessary attention based on their individual needs. However, challenges such as misalignment between learning objectives and evaluation design, as well as insufficient data for informed decision-making, must be addressed to maximize its effectiveness.

The recommendations from this research are: Teachers should ensure that learning objectives, activities, and formative assessment designs are aligned. This is crucial to ensure that assessment results accurately reflect students' understanding of the material taught; The use of technology, such as interactive learning applications or online quizzes, should be optimized to enhance the speed and accuracy of feedback. Teachers can also leverage digital platforms to efficiently analyze student data and adjust instructional strategies in real-time; Feedback provided should be specific, relevant, and actionable for students. Teachers are encouraged to allow students opportunities to gradually improve their work based on the feedback received; Formative assessments should be designed to accommodate the individual needs of students, including those with varying learning styles or paces. This approach supports the success of inclusive

education; and Teachers should continuously enhance their understanding of the design and implementation of formative assessment through professional training or peer discussions. This will enable them to effectively apply evaluation strategies that align with learning objectives.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This writing is dedicated to the beloved wife and children who are far away in Kupang. The author also expresses gratitude to the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for funding the author's studies in the Mathematics Education Master's Program at Yogyakarta State University.

REFERENCES

Alshurideh, M. T., al Kurdi, B., AlHamad, A. Q., Salloum, S. A., Alkurdi, S., Dehghan, A., Abuhashesh, M., & Masa'deh, R. (2021). Factors Affecting the Use of Smart Mobile Examination Platforms by Universities' Postgraduate Students During the Covid-19 Pandemic: An Empirical Study. *Informatics*, *8*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics8020032

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing a Theory of Formative Assessment. *Educational Assessment, 14*(3), 116–132.

Black, Paul and Wiliam, Dylan (1998). Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice. *Assessment in Education, 5*(1), 7—74.

Brown. M. & Harris, L. (2021). *Inclusive education and Formative assessment Methods for classroom engagement*. *Journal of Inclusive Practices in Education, 153*. p.58-72

Carney, E. A., Zhang, X., Charsha, A., Taylor, J. N., & Hoshaw, J. P. (2022). Formative Assessment Helps Students Learn over Time: Why Aren't We Paying More Attention to It?. *Intersection: A Journal at the Intersection of Assessment and Learning*, *4*(1), n1.

Green, J. (2021). Barriers to Formative Assessment in Resource-Limited Classrooms. *Journal of Educational Assessment, 18*(2), 78-89.

Hailikari, T., Virtanen, V., Vesalainen, M., & Postareff, L. (2022). Student perspectives on how different elements of constructive alignment support active learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, *23*(3), 217-231.

Handayani, U. F. (2024). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa smp dalam menyelesaikan soal bangun datar ditinjau dari level van hiele. *Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran Matematika: PowerMathEdu*, *3*(2), 271-284. https://doi.org/10.31980/pme.v3i2.1731

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81-112.

Ismail, S. M., et al. (2022). Formative vs. Summative Assessment: Impacts on Academic Motivation, Attitude Toward Learning, Test Anxiety, and Self-Regulation Skills. *Language Testing in Asia*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-022-00191-4

Ismail, S. M., Rahul, D. R., Patra, I., & Rezvani, E. (2022). Formative vs. summative assessment: impacts on academic motivation, attitude toward learning, test anxiety, and self-regulation skill. *Language Testing in Asia*, *12*(1), 40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-022-00191-4

Jones, A. (2019). *The Role of Technology in Formative Assessment*. *Educational Technology Review, 15*(1), 22-31.

Jones, L, & Talwar, S. (2019). Effective use of Formative Assessment in Mathematics: Bridging Theory and practice. *Journal of Mathematics Education, 12*(4), 22-35.

Kartiningrum, E. D. 2015. *Panduan Penyusunan Studi Literatur*. Mojokerto.

Kistler, R. (2023). Technology-Enhanced Formative Assessment in Mathematics Education. *International Journal of Educational Technology, 12*(4), 45-59.

Kosasih, U., Saputra, S., & Mutmainnah, S. (2023). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Bernuansa Islami Terkait Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis. *Plusminus: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *3*(3), 479-488. https://doi.org/10.31980/plusminus.v3i3.1510

Kowalski, F.V dan Kowalski, S.E. 2011. *Embedded Formative Assessment in the Undergraduate Engineering Classroom*. Department of Physics Colorado School of Mines Golden, CO, USA.

Linden, J., Nieuwenhuis, L., Vleuten, C. V.., & Schlit-Mol, T. (2023). Formative Use of Assessment to Foster Self-Regulated Learning: The Alignment of Teachers' Conceptions and Classroom Assessment. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning, 7*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41686-023-00082-8

Martin, P. P., & Graulich, N. (2023). When a machine detects student reasoning: a review of machine learning-based formative assessment of mechanistic reasoning. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, *24*(2), 407-427.

Miatun, A., & Ulfah, S. (2023). The Limited Face-To-Face Learning Implementation: Gender and Math Anxiety Towards Mathematical Conceptual Understanding. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *12*(4), 895-908. https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v12i4.1200

Morris, R., Perry, T., & Wardle, L. (2021). Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Review of Education*, *9*(3), e3292.

Noverli, M. F., Asih, E. C. M., & Juandi, D. (2024). Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis peserta didik kelas viii penghafal al-qur'an pada materi peluang. *Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran Matematika: PowerMathEdu*, *3*(2), 285-294. https://doi.org/10.31980/pme.v3i2.1783

Patra, I., Alazemi, A., Al-Jamal, D., & Gheisari, A. (2022). The effectiveness of teachers' written and verbal corrective feedback (CF) during formative assessment (FA) on male language learners' academic anxiety

(AA), academic performance (AP), and attitude toward learning (ATL). *Language Testing in Asia*, *12*(1), 19.

Puteri, D., et al. (2021). The Effectiveness of Authentic Assessment in Learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan*. https://doi.org/10.24832/jpnk.v8i1.3535

Rahayu, S., Cahyani, R. E., Herlina, Y., & Wahyuni, R. (2024). Analysis of high school students' mathematical problem-solving abilities on matrix content. *Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran Matematika: PowerMathEdu*, *3*(2), 209-224. https://doi.org/10.31980/pme.v3i2.1478

Saepuloh, A. R., Luritawaty, I. P., & Afriansyah, E. A. (2024). Assessing Mathematical Understanding in Fourth-Grade Students: A Focus on Multiplication and Division Skills. *Plusminus: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *4*(3), 409-422. https://doi.org/10.31980/plusminus.v4i3.2210

Smith, J. (2020). Integrating Technology in Education: Enhancing Learning and Teaching through Innovative Practices. *Educational Technology Research and Practice, 11*(2), 34-47.

Smith, R. (2020). Teacher Training and Formative Assessment in Mathematics. *Journal of Teacher Education, 45*(3), 124-136.

Stanja, J., Gritz, W., Krugel, J., Hoppe, A., & Dannemann, S. (2023). Formative assessment strategies for students' conceptions—The potential of learning analytics. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(1), 58-75.

Steen, J. *et.al*. (2023). Designing Formative Assessment That Improves Teaching and Learning: What Can Be Learned from the Design Stories of Experienced Teachers? *Journal of Formative Design in Learning,7*, 182-194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41686-023-00080-w

Stiggins, R., Arter, J. A., Chappuis, J., & Chappuis, S. (2004). *Classroom Assessment for Student Learning: Doing It Right – Using It Well.* USA.

Sudakova, N. E. *et al*. (2022). Online Formative Assessment in Higher Education: Bibliometric Analysis. *Education Sciences, 12*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12030209

van der Linden, J., et al. (2023). Formative Use of Assessment to Foster Self-Regulated Learning: The Alignment of Teachers' Conceptions and Classroom Assessment Practices. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning, 7*, 195-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41686-023-00082-8

Van der Steen, J., et al. (2023). Designing Formative Assessment That Improves Teaching and Learning: What Can Be Learned from the Design Stories of Experienced Teachers? *Journal of Formative Design in Learning, 7*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41686-023-00080-w

Wiliam, D., Lee, C., Harrison, C., & Black, P. (2004). Teachers Developing Assessment for Learning: Impact on Student Achievement. *Assessment in*

Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 11(1), 49-65.

AUTHOR'S BIOGRAPHY

Marten Luter Ke Lele, S.Pd.

Born in Sabu Raijua, NTT, 17 October 1992. Completed undergraduate studies in Mathematics Education at Universitas Nusa Cendana, Kupang, in 2019.

Prof. Dr. Marsigit, M.A.

Born in Kebumen, 19 July 1957. Faculty member at Tanjungpura University. Completed undergraduate studies in Mathematics Education at IKIP Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, in 1981; Completed graduate studies in Mathematics Education at Universitas London, in 1996; and completed doctoral studies in Philosophy of Mathematics at Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, in 2012.

Prof. Dr. Heri Retnawati, M.Pd.

Born in Kebumen, 03 January 1996. Completed undergraduate studies at Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, in 1996; Completed graduate studies at Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, in 2004; and completed doctoral studies at Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, in 2008.